

May 8, 2013

CONTRACT #: EP-W-06-042

Task Monitor: Mike McAteer , OSC **Date of Review:** May 8, 2013

RATINGS: 5 = Outstanding
4 = Excellent
3 = Good
2 = Fair
1 = Poor
0 = Unsatisfactory

See contract clause H.3, Contractor Performance Evaluation (EPAAR 1552.209.76) (May 1999), for rating definitions (Page H-3 and H-4 of START2 Contract)

A BRIEF NARRATIVE EVALUATION IS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT NUMERIC SCORE.

I. PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES: Circle one rating per performance criterion using the above rating scale.

Ratings

- Compliance with contract requirements
- Accuracy of reports
- Effectiveness of personnel
- Technical excellence

-Overall Rating for Quality	1	2	3	4	5
-----------------------------	---	---	---	---	----------

-Narrative Evaluation: _Rating = 5 Weston has done an outstanding job at mobilizing the right personnel to this spill site. Technical knowledge and experience have also been exceptional. Contractor provided outstanding overall emergency response oversight on this site.

Ratings

- Record of forecasting and controlling target costs
- Current, accurate and complete billings
- Relationship of negotiated costs to actuals
- Cost efficiencies

-Overall Rating for Cost Control	1	2	3	4	5
----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	----------

-Narrative Evaluation:_Rating = 5 Weston has handled the finances exceptionally well on this response so far. All costs have stayed within a reasonable agreed upon budget.

Contractor actively looked for ways to save money. Project Team Leader was very conscious about the budget and kept the OSCs routinely informed about the status of the budget.

SCHEDULE

Ratings

- Met interim milestones
- Reliability
- Responsive to technical direction
- Completed on time
- Met all delivery schedules

-Overall Rating for Timeliness of Performance 1 2 3 4 5

-Narrative Evaluation: __Rating = 5 All work so far has been completed on or ahead of schedule in accordance with the OSC's direction. Project Team Leader is always willing to put in the extra time to get his paperwork and reports in on time. Contractor was able to stay flexible on scheduling based on when the RP was conducting work.

Business Relations

Ratings

- Effective management, including subcontractors
- Reasonable/cooperative behavior
- Responsive to contract requirements
- Notification of problems
- Flexibility
- Proactive versus Reactive
- Overall Rating for Business Relations

1 2 3 4 5

-Narrative Evaluation: __Rating = 5 Project has been exceptionally well managed. The project team leader is extremely cooperative and very proactive about keeping the OSC informed of all activities onsite and any pending problems. Project team leader and team members are technically knowledgeable, highly responsive, and very professional.

II Overall Rating for the TDD by OSC 1 2 3 4 5

OSC Comments/Recommendations: __Rating = 5__
____OUTSTANDING JOB OVERALL.

____Weston has so far done an exceptional job on this response. All tasks have been completed on or ahead of schedule and within budget. They are flexible in terms of changes in the scope of work and the schedule for work. The project team leader (Heth Parnell) is outstanding in both his technical approach and his professional demeanor.

OSC Signature and Date: _____ M. M. Allen _____ May 8, 2013

			<u>Ratings</u>		
III.	PO Rating	1	2	3	4 5

PO Comments/Recommendations: _____

PO Signature and Date: _____